## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Marcus Keith Johnson Defendant	Case No. 1:11-cr-278
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reformation that the defendant be detained pending trial.	orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I – Fin	dings of Fact
	n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of at would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	56(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defendant had bee U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	n convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.
	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
a failure to register under 18 U.S.C (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed who relocal offense.	hile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
,	ption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another has not rebutted that presumption.
Alternative	e Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	has committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of ten years o Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et se under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	plished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
	e Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe	
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	he Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the evidence  ✓ a preponderance of the evidence that: defendant is a 29 year old unemployed man with an unstable r	e detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
which he has acquired 23 convictions, 3 of them for felonies. It convictions are based on attempts to elude or frustrate apprehand obstructing officers, leaving the scene of a personal injury selection, and in 2010 he failed to appear in response to an ordin place on the basis of his harassment of a female victim. Deforders or the requirements of law.	Four of the convictions are drug-related, and many of his ension (false information to police, fleeing and eluding, resisting accident.) In 2009, he failed to appear in state court for jury der to show cause, necessitating his arrest. A PPO is presently
	ey General or a designated representative for confinement in a
corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from person appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportun States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.	ons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending ity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United

Judge's Signature: /s/ Joseph G. Scoville

Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge

October 14, 2011

Date: